A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF THE *PARIYATTI* COURSES IN MANDALAY AND PAKOKKU

Khin Thidar

Myanmar is supposed to be a *Theravâda* Buddhist country and it has a long tradition in the study and practice of Buddhism. To made that possible both monks and men including kings and governments take their equal share in:

- 1. Teaching the Pitaka comprising Suttanta, Vinaya and Abhidhammâ.
- 2. Learning the Pitaka.
- 3. Discussing the theory and practice of the teachings of the Buddha (know as $S\hat{a}san\hat{a}$).
- 4. Propagating the Sâsanâ.

In the aspect of teaching, the most important thing is to have competent teachers. In the aspect of learning, I find that the medium of teaching is also an important thing to consider. For promotion and propagation of $S\hat{a}san\hat{a}$, the members of Sanghâ have to do the two duties viz. $Ganthadh\hat{u}ra$ - the duty to learn and teach the Pitaka, and $Vipassan\hat{a}dh\hat{u}ra$ - the duty to meditate. ($Buddhist\ Term$, 2000,62) In order to carry out these duties of the member of the $Sangh\hat{a}$ establish monasteries or $C\hat{a}santuik$, where Buddhist Scriptures are taught especially to young monks. They are also expected to perform other responsibilities of Pariyatti. There are three kinds of $Sangh\hat{a}$ engaged in the $Ganthadh\hat{u}ra$ viz. $C\hat{a}khya$, $C\hat{a}luik$ and $C\hat{a}chui$. Students who attend the lectures are called $C\hat{a}luik$. One of them selected to read the text is $C\hat{a}chui$ and the lecturer is $C\hat{a}khya$. The teachers or $C\hat{a}khya$ $Char\hat{a}toau$ ($Ganthav\hat{a}caka$) use various methods to make the lessons easy for the students. The two widely used methods in Myanmar are Mandalay Method and Pakokku Method.

Mandalay lies on the east bank of the Ayeyarwady river, about 382 miles (614.638 km) on the north of Yangon. King Mindon, who founded it in 1859, built eighteen great monasteries in the eastern part of Mandalay with the noble aim of promoting the Sâsanâ. The king further promoted the Sâsanâ by holding the religious examinations every year in the month of Nayone (June-July). The examination results are issued in order of merit and the best candidate would be rewarded richly. As the result, in all four quarters of Mandalay, the number of Câkhya Charâtoau as well as Câsan increased proportionately. As teacher, monks taught the Three Pitakas in day and night courses by turns, the Pariyattisâsanâ in Mandalay reached its summit in those days. Since then, Mandalay became an important centre of learning Buddhism. Câkhya Charâtoau had their own method of teaching and this

method was handed down in all *Câsantuik* (monasteries teaching Buddhist Scriptures) since the time of King Mindon. It had been the same in all *Câsantuik* of Mandalay in pre-war days and therefore their way of teaching the *Pitaka* came to be known as "Mandalay Method". (Khin Thein, 1972, 254)

Pakokku, an isolated town on the west bank of the Ayeyarwady about 300 miles (482.7 km) on the north of Yangon and the gateway to the Yaw and Chin land to the west, has long been famous a centre of quite an independent monastic learning in Myanmar. The elder monks there said that they have their own regulation book to receive new members in their monasteries. They said in pride that "You are illiterate until you have learn in Pakkoku". That attracts a lot of young monks to seek a chance to learn in Pakokku and eventually Pakokku became an important centre of Buddhism.

Obviously the Mandalay Method of *Ganthadûra* is quite old and reputable. It emphasized on a full examination of the Pitaka and necessarily it contains a lengthy discussion taking much time. It is widely known as Mandalay Method and it has its roots in earlier days when the capitals were at Awa and Amarapûra. There was a well-known saying:

"Ñgakhum Sayadaw can teach well the whole Tipitaka, Makyañ: to Sayadaw can complete the Tipitaka in a short period and Sai-an: Sayadaw can explain well the whole Tipitaka". (Mânita, 30 March 2001)

In spite of this, there is a popular assumption that the Mandalay Method was introduced by *Sai-an*: Sayadawgyi U *Sûriyabhivamsa* (13 August 1763-19 November 1839). (Sirîsobhana, 1955, 291-294)

In Mandalay, a lecturer would explain the various shades of meaning in a word. It would be just Samvannanâ naya (an explanation), Ñyâsa naya (an explanation in connection with other allied facts) or Netti naya (an inferred way) or Patthana naya (as it has been used in Abhidhammâ). Explanation of a word in six ways is called Samvannanâ naya. (Khin Thein, 1972, 253) The six ways of Samvannanâ naya are:

- 1. Sambandha (connection of words)
- 2. Pada (classification of words)
- 3. Padatta (meaning of words)
- 4. Padavigaha (definition of words)
- 5. Codanâ (question)
- 6. Parihâra (answer). (Uttarañana, 21 May 2001)

There are three types of naya that are $Sam\hat{a}sa$, Taddhita and Kitaka in the $\tilde{N}y\hat{a}sa$ ganttha.⁸ A lecturer made evident his resourcefulness in both $Sadd\hat{a}$ (Grammar) and $Abhidhamm\hat{a}$ by applying these ways. In accordance with his

ability, the lecture could get his lectures very learned and useful. It may take quite a long time to explain even a short sentence (e.g. Namo tasa bhagavato arahato sammâsambhudhassa) by using this method. Therefore, the Mandalay Method makes evident the lecturer's resourcefulness. One who has studied Sutta Silakkhandha Atthakathâ, Parajikam Atthakathâ and Atthasalinî Atthakathâ by this method would be well qualified to take the Dhammâcariya examination.

Another method of *Pariyatti* teaching, that is quite different from the traditional one, is used in Pakokku. The Pakokku Method, was introduced by Yezagyo Sayadawgyi *Baddanta Ganthasâra* (18 March 1833-4 October 1914). (*Kelâsa*,1970, 198-218) In this new method, a lecturer tries to cover the whole course of teaching in the shortest possible time. The student has to learn all the *Pâli* texts first. Then he has to attend the lectures. This is known as "getting through the major texts" (Sergyi bauk). The Pakokku Method is in fact a copy of the method of *Mahâpaduma* and *Mahasâma* of *Úrîlankâ*. (*Kumâra*, 1996,175)

The Mandalay Method emphasizes on full explanation of the text whereas the Pakokku Method emphasizes on understanding the text. It cannot be said however, that the Pakokku Method neglects grammar. It teaches the rudiments of the *Pâli* grammar and it proceeds to explain the text in the simple way. In this way the *Tipitaka* course is completed within seven years and Nikâyas in five years. By this method, a learner becomes a qualified teacher within three years if he has a good knowledge of grammar in *Abhidhammâ*, enough intelligence and strong determination.

It is obvious that the Pakokku Method does not abandon the traditional method but it tries to improve it by shortening the explanations. The improvement is made in two ways. First the students are given a basic course in *Pâli* grammar. Second, they are taught how to apply their knowledge of *Pâli* in understanding *Abhidhammâ*.

In teaching *Tipitaka* courses, it focuses on the ability to understand and translate the text of the *Pâli* cannon using all relevant *Atthakatha* and *Tika*. The following observation explains in short the Pakokku Method:

"Pâli, Atthakathâ, Tikâmakyan, kyam: gankuikhya, wâkyaussum, nañ: cumyojanâ, kyam: lâkhaikap, dunnayatrhan:, khyapuikhran: hû, Pakhukkûcâkhya, nañ: naya. The Pakokku Method can be explained best that it uses all relevant Atthakathâ to give the meaning of each Pâli word and to use the Tika to understand the whole theme. In some way difficult passages are best explained by using the Yojanâ Method of discussion on interrelated themes." (Kelâsa, 1981, 299)

The Pakokku Method does not encourage examination system. In other words it is not a cramming for the examination. Generally, those who have learn the

Pitaka do not sit for the Pathamapyan examination.

In brief, the characteristics of the Mandalay Method are as follows:

- a. The *Kissi* grammar and other grammatical books that elaborate and explain, *Pâli* language, are taught well.
- b. Abhidhammâ is also taught in detail.
- c. Atthakathâ are used to explain the Pâli texts. (Khin Thein, 1972, 262)

The main characteristics of the Pakokku Method are as follows:

- a. Pâli grammar is taught only for a fundamental knowledge of the Pâli cannon.
- b. Abhidhammâ is also taught to understand its rudiment.
- c. Most of the text of Pali cannon is taught with the help of Atthakathâ and Tikâ.
- d. No attempt is made to give the explanation of a Pâli word.
- e. Students are supposed to work on their own if they want to know in detail.
- f. $Atthakath\hat{a}$ are used only superficially so that after about three years the student could make his own self-study.
- g. Evening lectures in three years called $\tilde{N}a$ -w \hat{a} on $Abhidhamm\hat{a}$ are not compulsory. (Khin Thein, 1972, 265)

It can be obviously seen that the Mandalay Method takes emphasis on grammar and Abhidhammâ whereas the Pakokku Method does not do so but focuses on completing the whole course in the shortest possible time. The Pakokku Method, however, does not pay much attention to the mastery of Pâli grammar. The students is expected to work by himself to have a full understanding of the Pitaka. In practice, most pupils felt that they have to combine the Mandalay Method with the Pakokku Method to have better results. Therefore if those who have learn grammar by the Mandalay Method continue to learn the whole course by the Pakokku Method, grammar and the text will be integrated and it will reinforce the learning and teaching of Buddhist Scriptures effectively.

Both the two methods are effective in their respective ways. So most of the students try to be acquainted with both methods. *Sayadaws* also encouraged them to try both methods until now. In the Mandalay Method, the teacher's mastery of the subject has to be supplemented by his extensive reading. In the Pakokku Method, the learner's ability is more important as they have to quote so many commentaries and sub-commentaries besides the text. It is difficult to become a good teacher in Mandalay whereas it is not easy to become a good student in Pakokku.

According to Dr Than Tun, a well-known scholar, the Mandalay Method is "I will teach you as much as I know" and the Pakokku Method is "I will teach you so that you can learn". (Than Tun, 15 March 2001) In the opinion of a learned monk, the teachings of the Buddha can be learn through the Mandalay Method and if a treatise is thoroughly learn, there shall be no difficulty to learn the others.

However, the Mandalay Method cannot teach a variety of treatise, i.e., the whole course of the *Tipitaka*. So the Pakokku Method does not follow it. (*Mânita*, 30 March 2001) Another learned monk points out that the Mandalay Method elaborates a little piece of the text in many ways, so it is hard to learn all. It is not good for examination purpose. The Pakokku Method helps the learner to study the entire course so that he knows the text well. (*Kumâra*, 29 March 2001)

The Mandalay Method emphasizes the techniques and grammar but the Pakokku Method focuses on the courses without much consideration on the techniques. For example, *Tikakyoau* has to be learn for seven years in Mandalay but it takes only one year in Pakokku. (*Uttara Ñâna*, 9 April 2001) It helps the learner to understand the text more quickly. So most of the *Sayadaws* are in favour of the Pakokku Method. The students who have completed their learning in Mandalay usually try to spend at least a year in Pakokku. For example, *Ashin Janakâbhivamsa* (27 February 1900-27 December 1977), one of the most well-known learned *Sayadaws* and who later became the Second Leader of *Shwegyin Nikâya*, want to Pakokku for learning the Buddhist Scriptures again after his studies in Mandalay. (*Janakâbhivamsa*, 2000, 30)

In conclusion, the teachings of Lord Buddha are just like hidden treasures. The ways to discover those treasures depend on teaching methods. In the course of my studies, I interviewed so many learned *Sayadaws* of the Mandalay school and they maintain that their method is the best. On the other hand, prominent *Sayadaws* of the Pakokku school assure the fact that theirs is the best. In my opinion, both the two methods should be combined for better results and many scholar has done this way. Both methods, however, are becoming less in importance because of the examinations. Examination becomes more important to all the students. The monk must learn the teachings of the Buddha to know it and to practice it. If the monk is learning it for an examination, he would be an opportunist, seeking praise and reward. Even if a monk holds a teachership certificate, he would not be able to teach the wisdom to others because he do not know it properly himself. He just crams it for examination. So I suggest that examination system should be terminated, as it does not help much the Buddhistic studies.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

A Dictionary of Buddhist Terms, Ministry of Religious Affairs, Yangon, Myanmar, 2000.

History of Buddhism (Mahâvisutârâma, Magwe), A Commemorative Volume, Yangon, Department of Religious Affairs, 1996.

Kârunika, Manual for Lecturers of Dhamma, Win Naing Oo Publication, Yangon, 1984.

Kelâsa, History of Buddhism (Pakokku), Buddhist Council Publication, Yangon, 1967.

Kelâsa, History of Buddhism (Mahâvisutârâma Nikâya), Buddhist Council of Union of Burma, Yangon, 1970.

Kelâsa, The Pakokku Method of Teaching, Kyaw Win Swe Publication, Yangon, 1976.

Kelâsa, On Buddhist Titles and Bibliography of Mahâtheras, Department of Religious Affairs, Yangon, 1981.

Khin Thein, The Teaching of Pâli under British in Myanmar (1885-1941), M.A. Thesis, Department of Oriental Studies, Yangon University, 1972.

Ko Lay, U, "What Is TipitAka", In Honour of Mingun Sayadaw's 80th Birthday, Tipitaka Nikâya Ministrative Organization, Yangon, 1991.

Mendelson, E. Michael, Sangha and State in Burma, Cornell University Press, Ithaca, 1975.

Sallâ Kyaw Htet, "The Society of Pariyatti and Its Promotion", A Centenary Publication, Pariyatti Sâsanahita Society, Mandalay, 1999.

Sirîsobhana, History of Buddhism (Mahâdvâra Nikâya), Gandama Press, Yangon, 1955.

Than Tun, "Buddhism in Myanmar (in the last quarter of the 20th century)", Paper read on 17 December 1997 at the Seminar of Buddhist Studio, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand, 1997.

The Pakokku Method of Teaching the Pitaka, A Centenary Publication, Buddhist Sâsanâ Council, Yangon, 1996.